## ORDER SHEET WEST BENGAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

### Present-

The Hon'ble Justice Ranjit Kumar Bag

& The Hon'ble Subesh Kumar Das

#### Case No -OA 336 OF 2017

#### Nandan Kumar Jha <u>Vs</u> The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
9 23.08.2018	For the Applicant : Mr. P. Dhole, Learned Advocate.	
	For the State Respondents : Mr. S. Bhattacharyya, Departmental Representative.	
	Rejoinder filed on behalf of the applicant today is kept on record.	
	The applicant has prayed for a direction upon the respondents to give him pension by treating his initial appointment in Group D post w.e.f. December 27, 2000.	
	The applicant joined in the Group D post of Night Guard on the basis of order dated June 12, 2007 issued by the District Land and Land Reforms Officer, Malda. The applicant retired from the Group D post on December 30, 2016. The contention of the applicant is that the applicant was found suitable for absorption in the post of Night Guard / Office Peon on December 9, 1999. The further contention of the applicant is that there was inordinate delay in completion of formalities for appointment of the applicant in Group D post. The applicant had to approach the Hon'ble High Court by filing CO No. 16533(W) of 1988 for ventilating his grievances and the Hon'ble High Court directed District Land and Land Reforms Officer, Malda to consider the case of the applicant.	

Nandan Kumar Jha

### .... Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessar 3
	However, ultimately prayer of the applicant for appointment in	
	Group D post was rejected. Ultimately, the applicant approached	
	the Tribunal by filing OA No. 997 of 2003 whereby this Tribunal	
	gave direction to the District Land and Land Reforms Officer, Malda	
	for passing a reasoned order in connection with appointment of	
	the applicant in Group D post.	
	With the above background of appointment of the	
	applicant in Group D post, Learned Counsel for the applicant	
	contends that the applicant would have served in Group D for	
	more than 10 years had he been appointed in due time in Group D	
	post. Learned Counsel has relied on the judgment of the Division	
	Bench of the Hon'ble High Court in the unreported case of "State	
	of West Bengal V. Aparesh Chandra Datta" (MAT 1607 of 2015	
	decided on March 8, 2016) in support of his contention that the	
	applicant will get the benefit of inordinate delay on the part of the	
	state respondents in making appointment in Group D post and	
	thereby the applicant will get the benefit of pension for completion	
	of more than 10 years of service. On the other hand, the	
	Departmental Representative of the State respondents contends	
	that the applicant has rendered the service for 8 years 10 months	
	and 18 days and as such he is not entitled to get pension as per	
	provisions of Rule 67 of West Bengal Services (Death-cum-	
	Retirement Benefits) Rules, 1971 (in short, DCRB Rules of 1971).	
	The Departmental Representative has relied on the unreported	
	Judgment of the Hon'ble High Court at Calcutta in the case of	
	"Krishna Das Gupta V. State of West Bengal" (WPST No. 11 of 2011	

Nandan Kumar Jha

# Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Case No. OA-336	of 2017	
Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
	decided on March 3, 2011) in support of his contention that the	
	effect of appointment can never be given in retrospective manner.	
	There is no dispute that the applicant was appointment in	
	Group D post on June 12, 2007 and he retired on April 30, 2016 and	
	thereby the applicant rendered service as Government employee	
	for 8 years 10 months and 18 days. The applicant is entitled to get	
	pension only on completion of 10 years of service as per provisions	
	of Rule-67 of the DCRB Rules of 1971. The short fall in qualifying	
	service for pension can be condoned by Government of West	
	Bengal upto 6 months as laid down in Rule 36 of the DCRB Rules of	
	1971. In the instant case short fall in qualifying service for pension	
	is more than one year. The contention of the applicant is that the	
	applicant should have got the appointment in the year 2000 had	
	the respondent authorities considered his case for appointment in	
	due time. In State of West Bengal V. Aparesh Chandra Datta"	
	(supra), the Division Bench of the Hon'ble High Court dealt with the	
	issue of giving pension to the teacher of a primary school. In the	
	said unreported case, Learned Single Judge of the Hon'ble High	
	Court gave direction to the State respondents to give appointment	
	to the petitioner as teacher of the primary school against the	
	available vacancies within a period of 3 months from the date of	
	the order which was passed on March 13, 1991. Since the State	
	respondents did not comply with the direction passed by the	
	Learned Single Judge, the Division Bench of the Hon'ble High Court	
	held that the petitioner would have served as teacher of the	
	primary school from the year 1991, though he was actually	

Case No. OA-336 of 2017

Nandan Kumar Jha

# Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessar 3
	appointed only in the year 1999 and thereby the delay in giving	
	appointment was taken into consideration for giving the said	
	teacher of the primary school the benefit of pension. In the instant	
	case, there was no specific direction from the Hon'ble High Court	
	for giving appointment to the applicant in Group D post. The only	
	direction given by the Hon'ble High Court in CO No. 16533(W) of	
	1988 was to consider the case of the applicant within stipulated	
	period of time and the concerned respondent rejected the prayer	
	of the applicant for giving appointment to Group D post. So, the	
	facts of the present case are clearly distinguishable from the facts	
	of unreported case of "State of West Bengal V. Aparesh Chandra	
	Datta" (supra) and as such the ratio of the said unreported case	
	has no application in the facts of the present case.	
	In "Krishna Das Gupta V. State of West Bengal (supra), the	
	Division Bench of the Hon'ble High Court has clearly laid down that	
	the appointment in a post or in a cadre can never be given with	
	retrospective effect. Since the applicant has prayed for giving the	
	effect of his appointment in Group D post from December 27, 2000	
	inspite of his actual appointment in group 'D' post on June 12,	
	2007, we are constrained to hold that the retrospective effect of	
	appointment can never be given in view of proposition of law laid	
	down in the case of "Krishna Das Gupta V. State of West Bengal"	
	(supra).	
	On consideration of the facts of the entire case, we cannot	
	persuade ourselves to treat the appointment of the applicant with	

Nandan Kumar Jha

# Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Ors.

and dated signature of parties when necessar 3

Form No.

# Case No. OA-336 of 2017

Nandan Kumar Jha

# Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Case No. OA-336 of 2017		
Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
Rajib		

Nandan Kumar Jha

# Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Case No. OA-336 of 2017		
Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3

Nandan Kumar Jha

### .... Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Case No.	OA-336 of 2017
----------	----------------

Case No. OA-336 of 2017		
Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
Rajib		

Nandan Kumar Jha

# Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Case No. OA-336 of 2017		
Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
Rajib		

Nandan Kumar Jha

# Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Case No.	OA-336 of 2017
----------	----------------

Case No. OA-336 0	NO. OA-336 of 2017	
Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3

Nandan Kumar Jha

### .... Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Case No.	OA-336 of 2017
----------	----------------

Case No. OA-336 of 2017			
Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessar	
		3	

Nandan Kumar Jha

### .... Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Case No.	OA-336 of 2017
----------	----------------

Case No. OA-336 of 2017	7	
Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
Rajib		